#3

Aftorney's Docket No.: 003813.P003

the specification of which

**PATENT** 

## **DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION**

As a selow named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

## Method and Apparatus for High-Speed Network Rule Processing

XX	is attached hereto. was filed on December 31, 1998 as United States Application Number 09/224,382
	or PCT International Application Number
	and was amended on
	(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s	)		Priority <u>Claimed</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under t provisional application(s) listed b		e, Section 119(e) of any United States
(Application Number)	Filing Date	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	
application(s) listed below and, ir is not disclosed in the prior Unite of Title 35, United States Code, S known to me to be material to pa	nsofar as the subject matted of States application in the Section 112, I acknowledg atentability as defined in Ti lable between the filing da	de, Section 120 of any United States er of each of the claims of this application manner provided by the first paragraph e the duty to disclose all information tle 37, Code of Federal Regulations, te of the prior application and the national
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blak Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrend Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland 42,442; Michael Anthony DeSand Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Tarek N. Gregory, Jr., Reg. No. 42,607; D. Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,172; Will L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.42,004; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,021; Babak Redjaia Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 42,179; Edw Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,4643,237; Charles T. J. Weigell, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys, a41,236; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. Mo. Los Angeles, California 31,710, my patent attorney; with to transact all business in the Parent Coester Coeste	a. 39,602; Bradley J. Berez ely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; de M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,94 and B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,94 and B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39, ctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Dar. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; dinu Gruia, Reg. No. P42,9 6,159; Phuong-Quan Hoar bover II, Reg. No. 32,992; diam W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31, 9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, F. No. 42,034; Kimberley G. N. an, Reg. No. 42,096; Jame and Cormack deller, Reg. No. 38,318; Junion H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25, 60; John Patrick Ward, Reg. Mo. 43,398; Ben J. You and James A. Henry, Reg. and James A. Henry, Reg. eg. No. 41,364; and Chad LOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with a 90025, telephone (310) full power of substitution and tent and Trademark Office	
Send correspondence to <u>Dag</u> (Nam	H. Johansen ne of Attorney or Agent)	, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &

ZAFMAN LLP, 1240	O Wilshire Boulevard	7th Floor, Los	Angeles, California	90025 and	direct
telephone calls to $\_$	Dag H. Johansen		(408) 720-8598.		
•	(Name of Attorney	or Agent)			

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/Fi	rst Inventor Raghunath Iver		
Inventor's Signature		Date _	5th Karch, 9
Residence <u>Los Altos</u>	. CA	Citizenship <u>India</u>	
	(City, State)		(Country)
Post Office Address	2260 Homestead Court, #309 Los Altos, CA 94024		
Full Name of Second	/Joint Inventor <u>Sundar Iyer</u>		
Inventor's Signature	V-Sundar	Date _	5th March '99.
Residence Palo Alto	ı. CA	Citizenship <u>India</u>	
<u> </u>	(City, State)		(Country)
Post Office Address	1103A Lyman House Palo Alto, CA 94035		
Full Name of Third/J	oint Inventor <u>Moti Jiandani</u>		
Inventor's Signature	perfiamon	Date <u>7</u>	which 26,99
Residence Fremo	ont, CA	Citizenship <u>U.S</u>	5.A.
	(City, State)	•	(Country)
Post Office Address_	355, Guadalupe Terr	ace	•
	Fremont, CA 94539		
Full Name of Fourth/	Joint Inventor <u>Ramana Rao</u>		
Inventor's Signature	h.v. R. Ramana Las	Date _	15th March, 99
Residence Hyde	rabad A·P. India (City, State)	Citizenship	Indian (Country)
Post Office Address	BIOI, SIDDAMSETTY		HARNAGAR,
	INDIA		

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.